

PDHonline Course S132 (1 PDH)

Slab on Grade Reinforcing Design

Instructor: D. Matthew Stuart, P.E., S.E., F.ASCE, F.SEI, SECB, MgtEng

2013

PDH Online | PDH Center

5272 Meadow Estates Drive Fairfax, VA 22030-6658 Phone & Fax: 703-988-0088 <u>www.PDHonline.org</u> www.PDHcenter.com

An Approved Continuing Education Provider

Materials:

The most common reinforcement associated with slabs-on-grade is welded wire fabric. However, this is not the only means of reinforcing slabs. In some cases deformed bars are used in order to assure that the reinforcement is placed at the correct depth within the slab and not damaged during placement. In either case when using deformed bars or welded wire fabric, it is essential that adequate support of the steel is provided.



Welded Wire Fabric:

When using welded wire fabric, prefabricated sheets should be used in lieu of rolled fabric in order to help assure proper location of the steel within the concrete. In either case a minimum of one chair per 25 square feet of mesh should be used to adequately support the reinforcement above the sub-grade. The table provided in this slide lists common styles of welded wire fabric, including the "old" and "new" designations. Although the "new" designations are more than 20 years old, many engineers find this cross-reference helpful.

Style I	Designation	Steel Sq. in.	Weight		
New Designation (by W-number)	Old Designation (by steel wire gauge)	d Designation / steel wire gauge) Longitudinal		LDS. per 100 SF	
ROLLS					
6x6-W1.4xW1.4	6x6-10x10	.028	.028	21	
6x6-W2.0xW2.0	6x6-8x8*	.040	.040	29	
6x6-W2.9xW2.9	6x6-6x6	.058	.058	42	
6x6-W4.0xW4.0	6x6-4x4	.080	.080	58	
4x4-W1.4xW1.4	4x4-10x10	.042	.042	31	
4x4-W2.0xW2.0	4x4-8x8*	.060	.060	43	
4x4-W2.9xW2.9	4x4-6x6	.087	.087	62	
4x4-W4.0xW4.0	4x4-4x4	.120	.120	85	
SHEETS					
6x6-W2.9xW2.9	6x6-6x6	.058	.058	42	
6x6-W4.0xW4.0	6x6-4x4	.080	.080	58	
6x6-W5.5xW5.5	6x6-2x2**	.110	.110	80	
4x4-W4.0xW4.0	4x4-4x4	.120	.120	85	

Common	Styles	of We	lded \	Nire	Fahric
COMMON	JUVIES	UI WVE	iueu v	viie	Γαυιις







Subgrade Drag Procedure:

For most commercial and industrial floors, joint spacing is dictated by the location of columns or racks within the structure. This spacing may or may not coincide with the desired joint spacing of an unreinforced concrete slab. With some limitations, reinforcement for slabs can be sized using the Subgrade Drag Theory in order to increase the spacing of control or construction joints. The result is a lightly reinforced slab designed to offset the effects of temperature and shrinkage of the concrete.

ACI 360, "Design of Slabs-on-Grade", refers to this as a Type B slab. The Wire Reinforcing Institute recommends the use of the Subgrade Drag Theory for slabs up to 150 feet in length. However, with the relatively low percentage of steel provided by this method, it is recommended that only slabs whose joint spacing are within the range shown in Table 1 in the next slide should be reinforced using the Subgrade Drag Theory.

Longer strips of slabs than that indicated in the Table 1 can be placed, however, shrinkage cracks are more likely to occur. Additional reinforcing steel will help control the crack widths and supplement aggregate interlock, but random cracking is very likely to occur. Other calculation methods should be considered when determining reinforcing for joint spacing greater than that indicated in Table 1.







The second alternate procedure for determining reinforcement is the computation of the percent of steel necessary to complement the tensile strength of the concrete. The steel is calculated based on a value of 75% of the yield strength of the reinforcing, and the value of the tensile strength of the concrete, which is taken as 0.4 times the modulus of rupture (MOR). This method produces a significantly higher percentage of steel than the other two methods described. The modulus of rupture of concrete can be realistically taken as 7.5 $\sqrt{f'_c}$. This results in the following formula:

$A_s = (36\sqrt{f'_c}t)/f_s$

Where: A_s = cross-sectional area in square inches of steel per lineal foot of slab width

- t = thickness of slab in inches
- $\mathbf{f'_c}$ = strength of concrete (psi)
- f_s = allowable working stress of the reinforcement (psi)

It is recommended that the merits of using the methods which require greater percentages of steel than that determined by the Subgrade Drag formula be considered, particularly for industrial floor applications where the control of random cracking is critical.



When the Subgrade Drag formula is used for steel design, the recommendation for concrete cover above the reinforcing is two inches below the top of the slab. However, it is reasonable to allow for an envelope of steel placement ranging from two inches below the top of the slab to the center of the slab. Placing the steel any lower than this limit could, however, adversely affect the performance of the slab.

For most conventional slab-on-grade design, the steel does not have to be discontinuous at the contraction or sawn control joints. However, in cold storage facilities it is recommended that the reinforcing be discontinuous at all control joints. In industrial facilities in areas subjected to random and repeated heavy forktruck wheel traffic it is also recommended that dowel baskets be used at the sawn control joint locations to help supplement the load transfer capability of the controlled crack location. This is particularly true if the slab is unreinforced and only capable of transferring vertical loads across the control joint via aggregate interlock.











Structurally Reinforced Slabs:

One additional use of reinforcing in slab-on-grades is to allow for a reduced slab thickness, even though as it is expected in the following example, hairline cracks due to loading will occur. The following example is taken from "Designing Floor Slabs-on-Grade," Boyd C. Ringo, Robert B. Anderson (Aberdeen Group, 1992).

Example:

Provide a maximum slab thickness of 8 inches. Strength will be provided with reinforcing steel selected to provide a safety factor of two.

- Actual moment = 5,700 ft. lb. per foot of slab width
- Concrete compressive strength 4,000 psi
- MOR = 570
- Cracking moment; M_{cr} = ((bd²)/6) x (MOR/12)
- M_{cr} = 6,080 ft.-lb. per foot of slab width
- Moment required for a safety factor of 2; 5,700 x 2 = 11,400 ft.-lb. per foot of slab width.
- One possible solution is the use of one layer of #6 bars. Table 2 provided in the next slide shows that the moment capacity per foot of width is 7.13 ft.-kips if the #6 bars are spaced at 12 inches. It is necessary to adjust this to a closer spacing since the 12-inch spacing does not provide enough moment capacity.
- Spacing = 12 (7.13/11.4) = 7.505 inches
- Use one layer of number six bars at 7½ inches one center

Slah Ti	nickness		SIdD	Noment Ca	-in	One or Two	Layers of r	ebar at 12-II	ich spacing	10	-in		
5.05 11	neuricas	#3	#4	#5	#6	#7	#8	#3	#4	#5	#6	#7	#8
Number of Layers	A _s (in ²)	0.11	0.20	0.31	0.44	0.60	0.79	0.11	0.20	0.31	0.44	0.60	0.79
	d _t (in)	0.38	0.50	0.63	0.75	0.88	1.00	0.38	0.50	0.63	0.75	0.88	1.00
ONE	d (in)	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	resulting cover (in.)	3.63	3.50	3.38	3.25	3.13	3.00	4.63	4.50	4.38	4.25	4.13	4.00
	M _u (ft-k/ft)	1.78	3.24	5.02	7.13	9.72	12.80	2.23	4.05	6.28	8.91	12.15	16.00
	P (%)	0.11	0.21	0.32	0.46	0.62	0.82	0.09	0.17	0.26	0.37	0.50	0.66
	wgt (psf)	0.75	1.37	2.12	3.01	4.10	5.40	0.75	1.37	2.12	3.01	4.10	5.40
TWO	d (in)	6.38	6.25	6.13	6.00	5.88	5.75	8.38	8.25	8.13	8.00	7.88	7.75
	M _u (ft-k/ft)	2.84	5.06	7.69	10.69	14.28	18.40	3.73	6.68	10.20	14.26	19.14	24.8
	P (%)	0.23	0.42	0.65	0.92	1.25	1.65	0.18	0.33	0.52	0.73	1.00	1.32
	wgt (psf)	1.50	2.73	4.24	6.01	8.20	10.79	1.50	2.73	4.24	6.01	8.20	10.7
							Notes:	1. f'c = 400	psi, cover=:	L.25 in, b=12	lin, Φ=0.90		
								2. Design A	ssumptions	made in Tab	ole		
									One Layer Two Layers		ayers		
								d	t	/2	t*(cov	er+dt)	
								J _m d	0.9d				
								Mu	ΦA _s f _y (j _m d)				
								3. Percenta	entage of Reinforcement based on gross section b x t b moment capacities (resistance) in foot-kips per foot width, using either one or two layers of reinforcing bar			t	
								4. Slab m widt				oot of sla bars.	
					т	ABLE 2							

Unreinforced Slabs:

Unreinforced, plain concrete slabs (i.e. slabs without distributed steel or structural reinforcement) offer advantages of economy as well as ease and speed of construction. There are many similarities between an unreinforced road pavement and a plain concrete floor slab. As in pavement design, the factors involved in determining the required floor thickness of an unreinforced slab include:

- 1. Sub-grade and sub-base bearing support.
- 2. Strength of concrete.
- 3. Slab edge condition.
- 4. Location and frequency of imposed loads.
- 5. Magnitude of load.

Table 3 provided below is the suggested spacing for control joints in plain unreinforced slab-ongrade recommended by "Concrete Floors on Ground" published by the PCA (The Portland Cement Association).

Slab Thickness	Spacing (ft.)						
	< ¾" Aggregate > ¾" Aggregate		Slump < 4"				
5″	10	13	15				
6"	12	15	18				
7″	14	18	21				
8″	16	20	24				
9″	18	23	27				
10″	20	25	30				

TABLE 3